THE HONORABLE RICARDO S. MARTINEZ

2

1

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18 19

20

21

22

23

24

25

26

FOR THE WESTERN DISTRICT OF WASHINGTON

IN THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT

AT SEATTLE

UTHERVERSE GAMING LLC,

Plaintiff,

v.

EPIC GAMES, INC.,

Defendant.

Case No. 2:21-cv-00799-RSM

JOINT PROPOSED JURY INSTRUCTIONS

Plaintiff Utherverse Gaming, LLC and Defendant Epic Games, Inc. (together, "the parties"), in accordance with this Court's Order at ECF No. 433, jointly submit the within proposed jury instructions. This set of instructions includes verbatim the Joint Preliminary Jury Instructions, filed at ECF No. 436, with previously disputed language modified to reflect the resolution of said disputes by the Court during the May 1, 2025 teleconference. ECF No. 450.

## TABLE OF CONTENTS

Preliminary Jury Instructions
Preliminary Instruction No. 1 - Duty of Jury
Source: Ninth Circuit Model Civil Jury Instruction No. 1.3
Preliminary Instruction No. 2 – What is a Patent and How is it Obtained2
Source: N.D. Cal. Model Patent Jury Instructions A.1
Preliminary Instruction No. 3 – Patent at Issue
Source: Adopted from N.D. Cal. Model Patent Jury Instructions, Instruction
A.24
Preliminary Instruction No. 4 – Summary of Contentions (as resolved by the Court
May 1, 2025)5
Source: N.D. Cal. Model Patent Jury Instruction No. A.3 (modified)
Preliminary Instruction No. 5 – Overview of Applicable Law (as resolved by the
Court May 1, 2025)
Source: FCBA Model Patent Jury Instructions, Instruction No. A.4 (modified);
Final Jury Instructions at 22-23, Innovation Sciences, LLC v. Amazon.com, Inc,
No. 4:18-cv-474 (E.D. Tex. Sept. 2, 2020) (Dkt. 845)
Preliminary Instruction No. 6 – Burden of Proof – Preponderance of the Evidence9
Source: Ninth Circuit Model Instruction 1.69
Preliminary Instruction No. 7 - Burden of Proof – Clear and Convincing Evidence 10
Source: Ninth Circuit Model Civil Jury Instruction No. 1.7
Preliminary Instruction No. 8 - What Is Evidence
Source: Ninth Circuit Model Civil Jury Instruction No. 1.9
Preliminary Instruction No. 9 - What Is Not Evidence
Source: Ninth Circuit Model Civil Jury Instruction No. 1.10 (modified)
Preliminary Instruction No. 10 - Evidence for Limited Purpose

	Source: Ninth Circuit Model Civil Jury Instruction No. 1.11	13
	Preliminary Instruction No. 11 - Direct and Circumstantial Evidence	14
	Source: Ninth Circuit Model Civil Jury Instruction No. 1.12 & comment	14
	Preliminary Instruction No. 11A – Evidence Sealed from the Public	15
	Sources: Final Jury Instructions at 16, Apple Inc. v. Samsung Elecs. Co., No.	
	11-cv-01846-LHK (N.D. Cal. May 18, 2018) (ECF No. 3782)	15
	Preliminary Instruction No. 12 - Ruling on Objections	16
	Source: Ninth Circuit Model Civil Jury Instruction No. 1.13	16
	Preliminary Instruction No. 13 – Credibility of Witnesses	17
	Source: Ninth Circuit Model Civil Jury Instruction No. 1.14	18
	Preliminary Instruction No. 14 – Expert Testimony	19
	Source: Ninth Circuit Model Civil Jury Instruction No. 2.13 (modified); Jury	
	Instructions at Instruction No. 9, Ironburg Inventions LTD v. Valve Corp., No.	
	C17-1182 TSZ (W.D. Wash. Jan. 9, 2021)	19
Ш	Preliminary Instruction No. 15 - Deposition in Lieu of Live Testimony	
	Treminary instruction No. 13 - Deposition in Lieu of Live Testimony	20
	Source: Ninth Circuit Model Civil Jury Instruction No. 2.4 (modified)	
		20
	Source: Ninth Circuit Model Civil Jury Instruction No. 2.4 (modified)	20 21
	Source: Ninth Circuit Model Civil Jury Instruction No. 2.4 (modified)	20 21
	Source: Ninth Circuit Model Civil Jury Instruction No. 2.4 (modified)	20 21
	Source: Ninth Circuit Model Civil Jury Instruction No. 2.4 (modified)	20 21 21
	Source: Ninth Circuit Model Civil Jury Instruction No. 2.4 (modified)	21
	Source: Ninth Circuit Model Civil Jury Instruction No. 2.4 (modified)	20 21 21
	Source: Ninth Circuit Model Civil Jury Instruction No. 2.4 (modified)	20 21 21 22
	Source: Ninth Circuit Model Civil Jury Instruction No. 2.4 (modified)	20 21 22 22 23
	Source: Ninth Circuit Model Civil Jury Instruction No. 2.4 (modified)	20 21 22 22 23 24



Preliminary Instruction No. 20 - No Transcript Available to Jury	25
Source: Ninth Circuit Model Civil Jury Instruction No. 1.17	25
Preliminary Instruction No. 21 - Note Taking by Jurors	26
Source: Ninth Circuit Model Civil Jury Instruction No. 1.18 (modified)	26
Preliminary Instruction No. 22 – Conduct of the Jury	27
Source: Ninth Circuit Model Civil Jury Instruction No. 1.15	28
Preliminary Instruction No. 23 – Publicity During Trial	29
Source: Ninth Circuit Model Civil Jury Instruction No. 1.16	29
Preliminary Instruction No. 24 – Bench Conferences and Recesses	30
Source: Ninth Circuit Model Civil Jury Instruction No. 1.20	30
Preliminary Instruction No. 25 – Outline of Trial	31
Source: N.D. Cal. Model Patent Jury Instruction No. A.5 (modified)	32
Final Jury Instructions	3
Final Instruction No. 1 – Duty of the Jury	33
Source: Ninth Circuit Model Instruction 1.4 (modified).	33
Final Instruction No. 2 – Burden of Proof – Preponderance of the Evidence	34
Source: Ninth Circuit Model Civil Jury Instruction No. 1.6 (modified)	34
Final Instruction No. 3 – Burden of Proof – Clear and Convincing Evidence	35
Source: Ninth Circuit Model Civil Jury Instruction No. 1.7 (modified)	35
Final Instruction No. 4 – What is Evidence	36
Source: Ninth Circuit Model Civil Jury Instruction No. 1.9	36
Final Instruction No. 5 – What is Not Evidence	37
Source: Ninth Circuit Model Civil Jury Instruction No. 1.10	37
Final Instruction No. 6 – Direct and Circumstantial Evidence	38
Source: Ninth Circuit Model Civil Jury Instruction No. 1.12	38
Final Instruction No. 7 – Credibility of Witnesses	39

1	Source: Ninth Circuit Model Civil Jury Instruction No. 1.14	40
2	Final Instruction No. 8 – Evidence in Electronic Format	.41
3	Source: Ninth Circuit Model Civil Jury Instruction No. 2.16 (modified)	. 42
4	Final Instruction No. 9 – Summary of Contentions	. 43
5	Source: N.D. Cal. Model Patent Jury Instruction No. B.1. (modified)	. 43
6	Final Instruction No. 10 – Overview of Applicable Law	. 44
7	Source: FCBA Model Patent Jury Instruction No. A.4 (modified); Final Jury	
8	Instructions at 22-23, Innovation Sciences, LLC v. Amazon.com, Inc, No. 4:18-	
9	cv-474 (E.D. Tex. Sept. 2, 2020) (Dkt. 845).	. 45
10	DISPUTED Final Instruction No. 11 – Interpretation of Claims	. 46
11	Final Instruction No. 12 – Infringement – Burden of Proof	. 47
12	Source: N.D. Cal. Model Patent Jury Instruction No. B.3.1	. 47
13	DISPUTED Final Instruction No. 13 - Infringement	. 48
14	DISPUTED Final Instruction No. 13A – Order of Steps in a Method Claim	
15	(PROPOSED BY UTHERVERSE)	. 49
16	DISPUTED Final Instruction No. 13A – Antecedent Basis (PROPOSED BY EPIC)	. 49
17	DISPUTED Final Instruction No. 13B – Interpretation of Claims, Cont. of Instruction	
18	No. 11 (PROPOSED BY UTHERVERSE)	.49
19	Final Instruction No. 14 – Invalidity – Burden of Proof	. 50
20	Source: N.D. Cal. Model Patent Jury Instruction No. B.4.1a.	. 50
21	Final Instruction No. 15 - Perspective of One of Ordinary Skill in the Art	. 51
22	Final Instruction No. 17 – Anticipation 35 U.S.C. § 102	. 52
23	Source: N.D. Cal. Model Patent Jury Instructions, Instruction 4.3a1 (modified)	. 53
24	Final Instruction No. 18 – Obviousness 35 U.S.C. § 103	. 54
25	Source: N.D. Cal. Model Patent Jury Instructions, Instruction No. B.4.3b	
26	(Alternative 2) (modified).	. 56

1	Final Instruction No. 19 – Enablement	57
2	Source: N.D. Cal. Model Patent Jury Instruction No. B.4.2b (modified)	57
3	Final Instruction No. 20 – Patent Eligibility	58
4	Source: Final Jury Instructions at 22-23, <i>Innovation Sciences</i> , <i>LLC v</i> .	
5	Amazon.com, Inc, No. 4:18-cv-474 (E.D. Tex. Sept. 2, 2020) (Dkt. 845)	
6	(modified).	58
7	Final Instruction No. 21 – Damages – Burden of Proof	59
8	Source: N.D. Cal. Model Patent Jury Instruction No. B.5.1	59
9	Final Instruction No. 22 – Reasonable Royalty – Entitlement	60
10	Source: N.D. Cal. Model Patent Jury Instruction No. B.5.6 (modified); 35	
11	U.S.C. § 284; Jury Instructions at 34, NXP USA, Inc. v. Impinj, Inc., No. 2:20-	
12	cv-01503-JHC (W.D. Wash. June 21, 2023).	60
13	DISPUTED Final Instruction No. 23 – Reasonable Royalty - Definition	61
14	Final Instruction No. 24 – Damages – Apportionment	62
15	Source: 2014 AIPLA Model Patent Jury Instructions 10.2.5.4	62
16	DISPUTED Final Instruction No. 24A – Damages – Comparable Agreements	63
17	DISPUTED Final Instruction No. 25 – Glossary of Patent Terms	64
18	Final Instruction No. 26 – Duty to Deliberate	65
19	Source: Ninth Circuit Model Civil Jury Instruction No. 3.1	65
20	Final Instruction No. 27 – Consideration of Evidence – Conduct of the Jury	66
21	Source: Ninth Circuit Model Civil Jury Instruction No. 3.2	67
22	Final Instruction No. 28 – Communication with Court	68
23	Source: Ninth Circuit Model Civil Jury Instruction No. 3.3	68
24	Final Instruction No. 29 – Return of Verdict	69
25	Source: Ninth Circuit Model Civil Jury Instruction No. 3.5	69
26	Final Instruction No. 30 – Post Discharge Instruction	70

Case 2:21-cv-00799-RSM Document 455 Filed 05/11/25 Page 7 of 79



#### PRELIMINARY JURY INSTRUCTIONS

#### **Preliminary Instruction No. 1 - Duty of Jury**

Members of the jury: You are now the jury in this case. It is my duty to instruct you on the law.

It is your duty to find the facts from all the evidence in the case. To those facts, you will apply the law as I give it to you. You must follow the law as I give it to you whether you agree with it or not. And you must not be influenced by any personal likes or dislikes, opinions, prejudices, or sympathy. That means that you must decide the case solely on the evidence before you. You will recall that you took an oath to do so.

At the end of the trial, I will give you final instructions. It is the final instructions that will govern your duties.

Please do not read into these instructions, or anything I may say or do, that I have an opinion regarding the evidence or what your verdict should be.

Source: Ninth Circuit Model Civil Jury Instruction No. 1.3.

This case involves a dispute relating to a United States patent. Before summarizing the positions of the parties and the legal issues involved in the dispute, let me take a moment to explain what a patent is and how one is obtained.

Patents are granted by the United States Patent and Trademark Office (sometimes called the "Patent Office or "PTO"). A valid United States patent gives the patent holder the right to prevent others from making, using, offering to sell, or selling the patented invention within the United States, or from importing it into the United States, during the term of the patent without the patent holder's permission. A violation of the patent holder's rights is called infringement. The patent holder may try to enforce a patent against persons believed to be infringers by means of a lawsuit filed in federal court.

To obtain a patent one must file an application with the PTO. The process of obtaining a patent is called patent prosecution. The PTO is an agency of the federal government and employs trained patent examiners who review applications for patents. The application includes what is called a "specification," which must contain a written description of the claimed invention telling what the invention is, how it works, how to make it and how to use it so others skilled in the field will know how to make or use it. The specification concludes with one or more numbered sentences. These are the patent "claims." When the patent is eventually granted by the PTO, the claims define the boundaries of its protection and give notice to the public of those boundaries.

After the applicant files the application, a PTO patent examiner reviews the patent application to determine whether the claims are patentable and whether the specification adequately describes the invention claimed. In examining a patent application, the patent examiner reviews information about the state of the technology at the time the application was filed. As part of that effort, the patent examiner searches for and reviews information that is publicly available, submitted by the applicant, or both. That information is called "prior art." Prior art is defined by law, and I will give you at a later time specific instructions as to what

Filed 05/11/25

Page 10 of 79

2

1

3 4

5

6 7

8

9

10 11

12

13

14 15

16

17

18

19

20

21 22

23

24

25

26

constitutes prior art. A patent lists the prior art that the examiner considered; this list is called the "cited references."

After the prior art search and examination of the application, the patent examiner then informs the applicant in writing what the examiner has found and whether any claim is patentable, and thus will be "allowed." This writing from the patent examiner is called an "office action." If the examiner rejects the claims, the applicant has an opportunity to respond and sometimes changes the claims or submits new claims. This process, which takes place only between the examiner and the patent applicant, may go back and forth for some time until the examiner is satisfied that the application and claims meet the requirements for a patent. Sometimes, patents are issued after appeals with the PTO or to a court. The papers generated during this time of communicating back and forth between the patent examiner and the applicant make up what is called the "prosecution history." All of this material becomes available to the public no later than the date when the patent issues.

The fact that the PTO grants a patent does not necessarily mean that any invention claimed in the patent, in fact, deserves the protection of a patent. For example, the PTO may not have had available to it all the information that will be presented to you. A person accused of infringement has the right to argue here in federal court that a claimed invention in the patent is invalid because it does not meet the requirements for a patent.

Source: N.D. Cal. Model Patent Jury Instructions A.1

1000 SECOND AVENUE, SUITE 3500 SEATTLE, WA 98104 • Tel: 206-393-5400

#### **Preliminary Instruction No. 3 – Patent at Issue**

This case involves United States Patent Number 9,724,605. The patent is titled "Method System and Apparatus of Recording and Playing Back an Experience in a Virtual Worlds System" For convenience, the parties and I will often refer to this patent by the last three numbers of its patent number: the '605 Patent.

The claims at issue in this case are claims 2, 5 and 8 of the '605 Patent (also known as the "asserted claims").

Source: Adopted from N.D. Cal. Model Patent Jury Instructions, Instruction A.2.

9

11 12

13

14 15

16

17 18

19 20

21

22 23

24

25

26

## Preliminary Instruction No. 4 – Summary of Contentions (as resolved by the Court May 1, 2025)

To help you follow the evidence, I will now give you a summary of the positions of the parties.

The parties in this case are Utherverse Gaming LLC ("Utherverse Gaming") and Epic Games, Inc. ("Epic Games"). The case involves the '605 Patent, obtained by Brian Shuster and Aaron Burch and assigned to applicant Utherverse Digital, Inc. The '605 Patent was subsequently transferred to Utherverse Gaming.

Utherverse Gaming filed suit in this court seeking money damages from Epic Games for allegedly infringing the '605 Patent by using the methods of one or more of claims 2, 5, and 8 when it created and implemented virtual events in Epic Games' multiplayer online videogame Fortnite: the Travis Scott and Ariana Grande Events (also known as the "accused events").

Epic Games denies that it has infringed the asserted claims of the '605 Patent. Epic Games also argues that those claims are invalid. Invalidity is a defense to infringement.

Your job will be to decide whether any of claims 2, 5, and 8 of the '605 Patent have been infringed and whether those claims are invalid. If you decide that any claim of the '605 Patent has been infringed and is not invalid, you will then need to decide any money damages to be awarded to Utherverse Gaming to compensate it for the infringement.

Before you decide whether Epic Games has infringed any of the asserted claims of the '605 Patent or whether the asserted claims are invalid, you will need to understand the patent claims. As I mentioned, the patent claims are numbered sentences at the end of the patent that describe the boundaries of the patent's protection. It is my job as judge to explain to you the meaning of any language in the claims that needs interpretation.

[Hand out juror notebooks.]

I have already determined the meaning of certain terms of the claims of the '605 Patent. You have been given a document reflecting those meanings. For a claim term for which I have not provided a definition, you should apply the plain and ordinary meaning. You are to apply my definitions of these terms throughout this case. However, my interpretation of the language of the claims should not be taken as an indication that I have a view regarding issues such as infringement and invalidity. Those issues are yours to decide. I will provide you with more detailed instructions on the meaning of the claims before you retire to deliberate your verdict. Source: N.D. Cal. Model Patent Jury Instruction No. A.3 (modified).

# <u>Preliminary Instruction No. 5 – Overview of Applicable Law (as resolved by the Court May 1, 2025)</u>

In deciding the issues I just discussed, you will be asked to consider specific legal standards. I will give you an overview of those standards now and will review them in more detail before the case is submitted to you for your verdict.

The first issue you will be asked to decide is whether Epic Games has infringed the claims of the '605 Patent. Infringement is assessed on a claim-by-claim basis. Therefore, there may be infringement as to one claim but not infringement as to another. To prove infringement, Utherverse Gaming must prove by a preponderance of the evidence that in creating and implementing the accused events, Epic Games used a method that practices each step of one or more of the asserted claims of the '605 Patent. I will provide you with more detailed instructions on the requirements for infringement at the conclusion of the case.

Another issue you will be asked to decide is whether the '605 Patent is invalid. A patent may be invalid for several reasons, including because it claims subject matter that is not new or is obvious.

For a claim to be invalid because it is not new, Epic Games must show, by clear and convincing evidence, that all of the limitations of a claim are present in a single previous device or method, or sufficiently described in a single previous printed publication or patent. We call these "prior art." If a claim is not new, it is said to be anticipated.

Another way that a claim may be invalid is that it may have been obvious. Even though every element of a claim is not shown or sufficiently described in a single piece of "prior art," the claim may still be invalid if it would have been obvious to a person of ordinary skill in the field of technology of the patent at the relevant time. You will need to consider a number of questions in deciding whether the invention(s) claimed in the '605 Patent are obvious.

A patent may also be invalid if its description in the specification does not meet certain requirements. To be valid, the disclosure of a patent must meet the "enablement" requirement.

5

9

12 13

14 15

16

17

18

19

20 21

22

23 24

25

To meet this requirement, the description in the patent has to be sufficiently full and clear to have allowed persons of ordinary skill in the field of technology of the patent to make and use the invention, without undue experimentation, at the time the patent application was originally filed.

You will also be asked to decide whether the limitations of any of the asserted claims, when taken individually and when taken as an ordered combination, involve only technology which a person of ordinary skill in the art would have considered to be well-understood, routine and conventional as August 12, 2014, the date of invention of the '605 Patent. I will provide you detailed instructions on invalidity at the conclusion of the case.

If you decide that any claim of the '605 Patent has been infringed and is not invalid, you will then need to decide any money damages to be awarded to Utherverse Gaming to compensate it for the infringement. A damages award should put Utherverse Gaming in approximately the same financial position that it would have been in had the infringement not occurred, but in no event may the damages award be less than what Utherverse Gaming would have received had it been paid a reasonable royalty. I will instruct you later on the meaning of a reasonable royalty. The damages you award are meant to compensate Utherverse Gaming and not to punish Epic Games. You may not include in your award any additional amount as a fine or penalty in order to punish Epic Games. I will give you more detailed instructions on the calculation of damages at the conclusion of the case.

Source: FCBA Model Patent Jury Instructions, Instruction No. A.4 (modified); Final Jury Instructions at 22-23, Innovation Sciences, LLC v. Amazon.com, Inc, No. 4:18-cv-474 (E.D. Tex. Sept. 2, 2020) (Dkt. 845).

### Preliminary Instruction No. 6 – Burden of Proof – Preponderance of the Evidence

When a party has the burden of proving any claim by a preponderance of the evidence, it means you must be persuaded by the evidence that the claim is more probably true than not true.

You should base your decision on all of the evidence, regardless of which party presented it.

Source: Ninth Circuit Model Instruction 1.6

1000 SECOND AVENUE, SUITE 3500 SEATTLE, WA 98104 • Tel: 206-393-5400

#### <u>Preliminary Instruction No. 7 - Burden of Proof - Clear and Convincing Evidence</u>

When a party has the burden of proving any claim or defense by clear and convincing evidence, it means that the party must present evidence that leaves you with a firm belief or conviction that it is highly probable that the factual contentions of the claim or defense are true. This is a higher standard of proof than proof by a preponderance of the evidence, but it does not require proof beyond a reasonable doubt.

Source: Ninth Circuit Model Civil Jury Instruction No. 1.7.

- 1	
1	<b>Preliminary Instruction No. 8 - What Is Evidence</b>
2	The evidence you are to consider in deciding what the facts are consists of:
3	(1) the sworn testimony of any witness;
4	(2) the exhibits that are admitted into evidence;
5	(3) any facts to which the lawyers have agreed; and
6	(4) any facts that I may instruct you to accept as proved.
7	
8	Source: Ninth Circuit Model Civil Jury Instruction No. 1.9.
9	
10	
11	
12	
13	
14	
15	
16	
17	
18	
19	
20	
21	
22	
23	
24	
25	

16

## Preliminary Instruction No. 9 - What Is Not Evidence

In reaching your verdict, you may consider only the testimony and exhibits received into evidence. Certain things are not evidence, and you may not consider them in deciding what the facts are. I will list them for you:

- Arguments and statements by lawyers are not evidence. The lawyers are not (1) witnesses. What they may say in their opening statements, closing arguments, and at other times is intended to help you interpret the evidence, but it is not evidence. If the facts as you remember them differ from the way the lawyers have stated them, your memory of them controls.
- (2) Questions and objections by lawyers are not evidence. Attorneys have a duty to their clients to object when they believe a question is improper under the rules of evidence. You should not be influenced by the objection or by the court's ruling on it.
- (3) Testimony that is excluded or stricken, or that you are instructed to disregard, is not evidence and must not be considered. In addition, some evidence may be received only for a limited purpose; when I instruct you to consider certain evidence only for a limited purpose, you must do so, and you may not consider that evidence for any other purpose.
- (4) Some exhibits have been presented to you as illustrations. Those illustrations are called demonstrative exhibits and can be used to describe something involved in this trial. Although demonstrative exhibits are referred to as exhibits, they are not themselves evidence.
- (5) Anything you may see or hear when the court was not in session is not evidence. You are to decide the case solely on the evidence received at the trial.

Source: Ninth Circuit Model Civil Jury Instruction No. 1.10 (modified).

#### Preliminary Instruction No. 10 - Evidence for Limited Purpose

Some evidence may be admitted only for a limited purpose.

When I instruct you that an item of evidence has been admitted only for a limited purpose, you must consider it only for that limited purpose and not for any other purpose.

Source: Ninth Circuit Model Civil Jury Instruction No. 1.11.

Page 21 of 79

#### **Preliminary Instruction No. 11 - Direct and Circumstantial Evidence**

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

26

Evidence may be direct or circumstantial. Direct evidence is direct proof of a fact, such as testimony by a witness about what that witness personally saw or heard or did. Circumstantial evidence is proof of one or more facts from which you could find another fact. You should consider both kinds of evidence. The law makes no distinction between the weight to be given to either direct or circumstantial evidence. It is for you to decide how much weight to give to any evidence.

By way of example, if you wake up in the morning and see that the sidewalk is wet, you may find from that fact that it rained during the night. However, other evidence, such as a turned-on garden hose, may provide a different explanation for the presence of water on the sidewalk. Therefore, before you decide that a fact has been proved by circumstantial evidence, you must consider all the evidence in the light of reason, experience, and common sense.

14

Source: Ninth Circuit Model Civil Jury Instruction No. 1.12 & comment.

#### <u>Preliminary Instruction No. 11A – Evidence Sealed from the Public</u>

Some evidence may be presented to you in a manner that seals the evidence from the public because it contains highly confidential business information of the parties or third parties. You must not draw any inferences about the nature of the evidence or give any greater or lesser weight to the evidence based on whether it was sealed.

Sources: Final Jury Instructions at 16, *Apple Inc. v. Samsung Elecs. Co.*, No. 11-cv-01846-LHK (N.D. Cal. May 18, 2018) (ECF No. 3782).

#### Preliminary Instruction No. 12 - Ruling on Objections

There are rules of evidence that control what can be received into evidence. When a lawyer asks a question or offers an exhibit into evidence, and a lawyer on the other side thinks that it is not permitted by the rules of evidence, that lawyer may object. If I overrule the objection, the question may be answered, or the exhibit received. If I sustain the objection, the question cannot be answered, and the exhibit cannot be received. Whenever I sustain an objection to a question, you must ignore the question and must not guess what the answer might have been.

Sometimes I may order that evidence be stricken from the record and that you disregard or ignore that evidence. That means when you are deciding the case, you must not consider the stricken evidence for any purpose.

Source: Ninth Circuit Model Civil Jury Instruction No. 1.13.

7 8

9

10

11 12

13

14 15

16 17

18

20

19

21 22

23 24

25 26

#### **Preliminary Instruction No. 13 – Credibility of Witnesses**

In deciding the facts in this case, you may have to decide which testimony to believe and which testimony not to believe. You may believe everything a witness says, or part of it, or none of it.

In considering the testimony of any witness, you may take into account:

- (1) the opportunity and ability of the witness to see or hear or know the things testified to:
  - (2) the witness's memory;
  - (3) the witness's manner while testifying;
  - (4) the witness's interest in the outcome of the case, if any;
  - (5) the witness's bias or prejudice, if any;
  - whether other evidence contradicted the witness's testimony; (6)
  - (7) the reasonableness of the witness's testimony in light of all the evidence; and
  - (8) any other factors that bear on believability.

Sometimes a witness may say something that is not consistent with something else he or she said. Sometimes different witnesses will give different versions of what happened. People often forget things or make mistakes in what they remember. Also, two people may see the same event but remember it differently. You may consider these differences, but do not decide that testimony is untrue just because it differs from other testimony.

However, if you decide that a witness has deliberately testified untruthfully about something important, you may choose not to believe anything that witness said. On the other hand, if you think the witness testified untruthfully about some things but told the truth about others, you may accept the part you think is true and ignore the rest.

The weight of the evidence as to a fact does not necessarily depend on the number of witnesses who testify. What is important is how believable the witnesses were, and how much weight you think their testimony deserves.

Source: Ninth Circuit Model Civil Jury Instruction No. 1.14.



#### **Preliminary Instruction No. 14 – Expert Testimony**

You will hear testimony from persons who, because of their specialized knowledge, skill, experience, training, or education, are permitted to state opinions and the reasons for those opinions.

Such opinion testimony should be judged like any other testimony. You may accept it or reject it and give it as much weight as you think it deserves, considering the witness's specialized knowledge, skill, experience, training, or education, the reasons given for the opinion, and all the other evidence in the case.

Source: Ninth Circuit Model Civil Jury Instruction No. 2.13 (modified); Jury Instructions at Instruction No. 9, *Ironburg Inventions LTD v. Valve Corp.*, No. C17-1182 TSZ (W.D. Wash. Jan. 9, 2021).

Page 27 of 79

#### **Preliminary Instruction No. 15 - Deposition in Lieu of Live Testimony**

A deposition is the sworn testimony of a witness taken before trial. The witness is placed under oath to tell the truth and lawyers for each party may ask questions. The questions and answers are recorded. When a person is unavailable to testify at trial, the deposition of that person may be used at the trial.

The depositions of various witnesses were taken prior to trial. Insofar as possible, you should consider deposition testimony, presented to you in court in lieu of live testimony, in the same way as if the witness had been present to testify.

You may hear deposition testimony from certain corporate witnesses referred to as "Rule 30(b)(6)" witnesses for the parties. A Rule 30(b)(6) corporate witness is a person that a corporation has chosen to designate to speak on behalf of the corporation on a specific topic.

Source: Ninth Circuit Model Civil Jury Instruction No. 2.4 (modified).

#### **Preliminary Instruction No. 16 - Testimony from Corporate Witnesses**

Under the law, a corporation is considered to be a person. It can only act through its employees, agents, directors, or officers. Therefore, a corporation is responsible for the acts of its employees, agents, directors, and officers performed within the scope of authority.

Source: Ninth Circuit Model Civil Jury Instruction No. 4.2 (modified).

### Preliminary Instruction No. 17 - Demonstratives, Charts, and Summaries Not Received in

## **Evidence**

Certain charts and summaries not admitted into evidence may be shown to you in order to help explain the contents of books, records, documents, or other evidence in the case. These are sometimes called "demonstrative exhibits." Demonstratives, charts, and summaries are only as good as the underlying evidence that supports them. You should, therefore, give them only such weight as you think the underlying evidence deserves.

Source: Ninth Circuit Model Civil Jury Instruction No. 2.14 (modified).

POLSINELLI 1000 SECOND AVENUE, SUITE 3500 SEATTLE, WA 98104 • Tel: 206-393-5400

## Preliminary Instruction No. 18 - Demonstratives, Charts, and Summaries Received in

### **Evidence**

Certain demonstratives, charts, and summaries may be admitted into evidence to illustrate information brought out in the trial. Demonstratives, charts, and summaries are only as good as the testimony or other admitted evidence that supports them. You should, therefore, give them only such weight as you think the underlying evidence deserves.

Source: Ninth Circuit Model Civil Jury Instruction No. 2.15 (modified).

POLSINELLI 1000 SECOND AVENUE, SUITE 3500 SEATTLE, WA 98104 • Tel: 206-393-5400

## **Preliminary Instruction No. 19 – Stipulations of Fact**

The parties have agreed to certain facts to be placed into evidence as Exhibit \_\_\_\_ and read to you throughout the course of this trial. You must therefore treat these facts as having been proved.

Source: Ninth Circuit Model Civil Jury Instruction No. 2.2 (modified).

## Preliminary Instruction No. 20 - No Transcript Available to Jury

I urge you to pay close attention to the trial testimony as it is given. During deliberations you will not have a transcript of the trial testimony.

Source: Ninth Circuit Model Civil Jury Instruction No. 1.17.

## **Preliminary Instruction No. 21 - Note Taking by Jurors**

You have been given materials that consist of the following:

- A copy of the asserted patent
- A listing of the Court's claim constructions

You have also been given a notepad and a pen. If you wish, you may take notes to help you remember the evidence. If you do take notes, please keep them to yourself until you go to the jury room to decide the case. Do not let notetaking distract you. When you leave, your notes should be left in the [courtroom] [jury room] [envelope in the jury room]. No one will read your notes.

Whether or not you take notes, you should rely on your own memory of the evidence. Notes are only to assist your memory. You should not be overly influenced by your notes or those of other jurors.

Source: Ninth Circuit Model Civil Jury Instruction No. 1.18 (modified).

6

8

9

12

11

13 14

15

16 17

18

19

20

21 22

23

24 25

26

#### **Preliminary Instruction No. 22 – Conduct of the Jury**

I will now say a few words about your conduct as jurors.

First, keep an open mind throughout the trial, and do not decide what the verdict should be until you and your fellow jurors have completed your deliberations at the end of the case.

Second, because you must decide this case based only on the evidence received in the case and on my instructions as to the law that applies, you must not be exposed to any other information about the case or to the issues it involves during the course of your jury duty. Thus, until the end of the case or unless I tell you otherwise:

- Do not communicate with anyone in any way and do not let anyone else communicate with you in any way about the merits of the case or anything to do with it. This includes discussing the case in person, in writing, by phone, tablet, or computer, or any other electronic means, via email, text messaging, or any internet chat room, blog, website or application, including but not limited to Facebook, YouTube, Twitter, Instagram, LinkedIn, Snapchat, Tiktok, or any other forms of social media. This applies to communicating with your fellow jurors until I give you the case for deliberation, and it applies to communicating with everyone else including your family members, your employer, the media or press, and the people involved in the trial, although you may notify your family and your employer that you have been seated as a juror in the case, and how long you expect the trial to last. But, if you are asked or approached in any way about your jury service or anything about this case, you must respond that you have been ordered not to discuss the matter and report the contact to the court.
- Because you will receive all the evidence and legal instruction you properly may consider to return a verdict: do not read, watch or listen to any news or media accounts or commentary about the case or anything to do with it; do not do any research, such as consulting dictionaries, searching the Internet, or using other

12 13

14

15 16

17

18

19

20

21

22 23

24

25

26

reference materials; and do not make any investigation or in any other way try to learn about the case on your own. Do not visit or view any place discussed in this case, and do not use the Internet or any other resource to search for or view any place discussed during the trial. Also, do not do any research about this case, the law, or the people involved—including the parties, the witnesses or the lawyers—until you have been excused as jurors. If you happen to read or hear anything touching on this case in the media, turn away and report it to me as soon as possible.

These rules protect each party's right to have this case decided only on evidence that has been presented here in court. Witnesses here in court take an oath to tell the truth, and the accuracy of their testimony is tested through the trial process. If you do any research or investigation outside the courtroom, or gain any information through improper communications, then your verdict may be influenced by inaccurate, incomplete or misleading information that has not been tested by the trial process. Each of the parties is entitled to a fair trial by an impartial jury, and if you decide the case based on information not presented in court, you will have denied the parties a fair trial. Remember, you have taken an oath to follow the rules, and it is very important that you follow these rules.

A juror who violates these restrictions jeopardizes the fairness of these proceedings[, and a mistrial could result that would require the entire trial process to start over]. If any juror is exposed to any outside information, please notify the court immediately.

Source: Ninth Circuit Model Civil Jury Instruction No. 1.15

#### Preliminary Instruction No. 23 – Publicity During Trial

If there is any news media account or commentary about the case or anything to do with it, you must ignore it. You must not read, watch or listen to any news media account or commentary about the case or anything to do with it. The case must be decided by you solely and exclusively on the evidence that will be received in the case and on my instructions as to the law that applies. If any juror is exposed to any outside information, please notify me immediately.

8 ||

Source: Ninth Circuit Model Civil Jury Instruction No. 1.16.

#### **Preliminary Instruction No. 24 – Bench Conferences and Recesses**

From time to time during the trial, it may become necessary for me to talk with the attorneys out of the hearing of the jury, either by having a conference at the bench when the jury is present in the courtroom, or by calling a recess. Please understand that while you are waiting, we are working. The purpose of these conferences is not to keep relevant information from you, but to decide how certain evidence is to be treated under the rules of evidence and to avoid confusion and error.

Of course, we will do what we can to keep the number and length of these conferences to a minimum. I may not always grant an attorney's request for a conference. Do not consider my granting or denying a request for a conference as any indication of my opinion of the case or of what your verdict should be.

Source: Ninth Circuit Model Civil Jury Instruction No. 1.20.

# 3

# 4 5

# 6

# 7

### 8 9

### 10 11

# 12

### 13

### 14

# 15

# 16

# 17 18

# 19

# 20

# 21 22

# 23 24

# 25

# 26

#### **Preliminary Instruction No. 25 – Outline of Trial**

The trial will proceed in the following way. First, each side may make an opening statement. An opening statement is not evidence. It is simply an outline to help you understand what that party expects the evidence will show.

The presentation of evidence will then begin. Witnesses will take the witness stand and the documents will be offered and admitted into evidence. There are two standards of proof that you will apply to the evidence, depending on the issue you are deciding. On some issues, you must decide whether something is proven by a preponderance of the evidence, meaning that it more likely true than not. On other issues you must use a higher standard of clear and convincing evidence and decide whether it is highly probable that something is true.

Utherverse Gaming will present its evidence on its contention that one or more of the asserted claims of the '605 Patent have been infringed by Epic Games and evidence regarding damages. These witnesses will be questioned by Utherverse Gaming's counsel in what is called direct examination. After the direct examination of a witness is completed, Epic Games' counsel has an opportunity to cross-examine the witness. Finally, Utherverse Gaming's counsel has the opportunity to question the witness one more time in what is called redirect examination. To prove infringement of any claim, Utherverse Gaming must persuade you that it is more likely than not that Epic Games has infringed that claim.

Utherverse Gaming may present testimony from Epic-affiliated witnesses, or adverse witnesses, during its case-in-chief, and is permitted to question those witnesses in the form of cross-examination. So that these witnesses do not have to take the stand twice, at the conclusion of Utherverse Gaming's questions, Epic Games may conduct a direct examination of the Epicaffiliated witnesses.

After Utherverse Gaming has presented its witnesses, Epic Games will call its witnesses who did not testify during Utherverse Gaming's case-in-chief, who will also be examined and subject to cross-examination and redirect. Epic Games will present its evidence that the claims

of the '605 Patent are invalid. To prove invalidity of any claim, Epic Games must persuade you that it is highly probable that the claim is invalid. In addition to presenting its evidence of invalidity, Epic Games will put on evidence responding to Utherverse Gaming's infringement contentions.

Utherverse Gaming will then return and will put on evidence responding to Epic Games' contention that the claims of the '605 Patent are invalid. Utherverse Gaming will also have the option to put on what is referred to as "rebuttal" evidence to any evidence offered by Epic Games of non-infringement.

Finally, Epic Games will have the option to put on "rebuttal" evidence to any evidence offered by Utherverse Gaming on the validity of the asserted claims of the '605 Patent.

Because the evidence is introduced piecemeal, you need to keep an open mind as the evidence comes in and wait for all the evidence before you make any decisions. In other words, you should keep an open mind throughout the entire trial.

The parties may present the testimony of a witness by reading from his or her deposition transcript or playing a videotape of the witness's deposition testimony. A deposition is the sworn testimony of a witness taken before trial and is entitled to the same consideration as if the witness had testified at trial.

After the evidence has been presented, the attorneys will make closing arguments and I will give you final instructions on the law that applies to the case. Closing arguments are not evidence. After the closing arguments and instructions, you will then decide the case.

Source: N.D. Cal. Model Patent Jury Instruction No. A.5 (modified).

24

25

#### FINAL JURY INSTRUCTIONS

#### <u>Final Instruction No. 1 – Duty of the Jury</u>

Members of the Jury: Now that you have heard all of the evidence [and the arguments of the attorneys], it is my duty to instruct you on the law that applies to this case.

A copy of these instructions will be sent to the jury room for you to consult during your deliberations.

It is your duty to find the facts from all the evidence in the case. To those facts you will apply the law as I give it to you. You must follow the law as I give it to you whether you agree with it or not. And you must not be influenced by any personal likes or dislikes, opinions, prejudices, or sympathy. That means that you must decide the case solely on the evidence before you. You will recall that you took an oath to do so.

Please do not read into these instructions or anything that I may say or do or have said or done that I have an opinion regarding the evidence or what your verdict should be. In following my instructions, you must follow all of them and not single out some and ignore others; they are all important.

Source: Ninth Circuit Model Instruction 1.4 (modified).

#### Final Instruction No. 2 – Burden of Proof – Preponderance of the Evidence

When a party has the burden of proving any claim by a preponderance of the evidence, it means you must be persuaded by the evidence that the claim is more probably true than not true. Utherverse Gaming must prove infringement and damages by a preponderance of the evidence.

You should base your decision on all of the evidence, regardless of which party presented it.

Source: Ninth Circuit Model Civil Jury Instruction No. 1.6 (modified)

POLSINELLI 1000 SECOND AVENUE, SUITE 3500 SEATTLE, WA 98104 • Tel: 206-393-5400

#### Final Instruction No. 3 – Burden of Proof – Clear and Convincing Evidence

When a party has the burden of proving any claim or defense by clear and convincing evidence, it means that the party must present evidence that leaves you with a firm belief or conviction that it is highly probable that the factual contentions of the claim or defense are true. This is a higher standard of proof than proof by a preponderance of the evidence, but it does not require proof beyond a reasonable doubt. Epic Games must prove invalidity by clear and convincing evidence.

Source: Ninth Circuit Model Civil Jury Instruction No. 1.7 (modified).

### Final Instruction No. 4 – What is Evidence

The evidence you are to consider in deciding what the facts are consists of:

- 1. the sworn testimony of any witness;
- 2. the exhibits that are admitted into evidence;
- 3. any facts to which the lawyers have agreed; and
- 4. any facts that I have instructed you to accept as proved.

8 Source: Ninth Circuit Model Civil Jury Instruction No. 1.9.



6 7

8 9

10 11

12 13

14

15

16

17 18

19

20 21

22

23

24

25 26

#### Final Instruction No. 5 – What is Not Evidence

In reaching your verdict, you may consider only the testimony and exhibits received into evidence. Certain things are not evidence, and you may not consider them in deciding what the facts are. I will list them for you:

- Arguments and statements by lawyers are not evidence. The lawyers are not (1) witnesses. What they may have said in their opening statements, closing arguments, and at other times is intended to help you interpret the evidence, but it is not evidence. If the facts as you remember them differ from the way the lawyers have stated them, your memory of them controls.
- (2) Questions and objections by lawyers are not evidence. Attorneys have a duty to their clients to object when they believe a question is improper under the rules of evidence. You should not be influenced by the objection or by the court's ruling on it.
- (3) Testimony that has been excluded or stricken, or that you were instructed to disregard, is not evidence and must not be considered. In addition, some evidence may have been received only for a limited purpose; if I instructed you to consider certain evidence only for a limited purpose, you must do so, and you may not consider that evidence for any other purpose.
- (4) Some information was presented to you as a chart or illustration. Those charts or illustrations are called demonstrative exhibits and can be used to describe something involved in this trial. Although demonstrative exhibits are referred to as exhibits, they are not themselves, evidence.
- (5) Anything you may have seen or heard when the court was not in session is not evidence. You are to decide the case solely on the evidence received at the trial.

Source: Ninth Circuit Model Civil Jury Instruction No. 1.10.

#### Final Instruction No. 6 – Direct and Circumstantial Evidence

Evidence may be direct or circumstantial. Direct evidence is direct proof of a fact, such as testimony by a witness about what that witness personally saw or heard or did. Circumstantial evidence is proof of one or more facts from which you could find another fact. You should consider both kinds of evidence. The law makes no distinction between the weight to be given to either direct or circumstantial evidence. It is for you to decide how much weight to give to any evidence.

Source: Ninth Circuit Model Civil Jury Instruction No. 1.12.

11 12

13

15

14

16 17

18 19

2021

2223

2425

26

#### <u>Final Instruction No. 7 – Credibility of Witnesses</u>

In deciding the facts in this case, you may have to decide which testimony to believe and which testimony not to believe. You may believe everything a witness says, or part of it, or none of it.

In considering the testimony of any witness, you may take into account:

- (1) the opportunity and ability of the witness to see or hear or know the things testified to:
  - (2) the witness's memory;
  - (3) the witness's manner while testifying;
  - (4) the witness's interest in the outcome of the case, if any;
  - (5) the witness's bias or prejudice, if any;
  - (6) whether other evidence contradicted the witness's testimony;
  - (7) the reasonableness of the witness's testimony in light of all the evidence; and
  - (8) any other factors that bear on believability.

Sometimes a witness may say something that is not consistent with something else he or she said. Sometimes different witnesses will give different versions of what happened. People often forget things or make mistakes in what they remember. Also, two people may see the same event but remember it differently. You may consider these differences, but do not decide that testimony is untrue just because it differs from other testimony.

However, if you decide that a witness has deliberately testified untruthfully about something important, you may choose not to believe anything that witness said. On the other hand, if you think the witness testified untruthfully about some things but told the truth about others, you may accept the part you think is true and ignore the rest.

The weight of the evidence as to a fact does not necessarily depend on the number of witnesses who testify. What is important is how believable the witnesses were, and how much weight you think their testimony deserves.

Source: Ninth Circuit Model Civil Jury Instruction No. 1.14.



#### Final Instruction No. 8 – Evidence in Electronic Format

Most exhibits received in evidence will be provided to you in paper form. Other exhibits received in evidence, such as videos, that are capable of being displayed electronically will be provided to you in that form, and you will be able to view them in the jury room. A computer will be available to you in the jury room.

A court technician will show you how to operate the computer and other equipment and how to locate and view the exhibits on the computer. You may request a paper copy of any exhibit received in evidence by sending a note through the clerk. If you need additional equipment or supplies or if you have questions about how to operate the computer or other equipment, you may send a note to the clerk, signed by your foreperson or by one or more members of the jury. Do not refer to or discuss any exhibit you were attempting to view.

If a technical problem or question requires hands-on maintenance or instruction, a court technician may enter the jury room with the clerk present for the sole purpose of assuring that the only matter that is discussed is the technical problem. When the court technician or any nonjuror is in the jury room, the jury shall not deliberate. No juror may say anything to the court technician or any nonjuror other than to describe the technical problem or to seek information about operation of the equipment. Do not discuss any exhibit or any aspect of the case.

The sole purpose of providing the computer in the jury room is to enable jurors to view the exhibits received in evidence in this case and provided to the jury in electronic form (for example, videos). You may not use the computer for any other purpose. At my direction, technicians have taken steps to ensure that the computer does not permit access to the Internet or to any "outside" website, database, directory, game, or other material. Do not attempt to alter the computer to obtain access to such materials. If you discover that the computer provides or allows access to such materials, you must inform the court immediately and refrain from viewing such materials. Do not remove the computer from the jury room, and do not copy any such data.

Source: Ninth Circuit Model Civil Jury Instruction No. 2.16 (modified).



#### <u>Final Instruction No. 9 – Summary of Contentions</u>

I will first give you a summary of each side's contentions in this case. I will then tell you what each side must prove to win on each of its contentions. As I previously told you,

Utherverse Gaming seeks money damages from Epic Games for allegedly infringing the '605

Patent by using the methods of one or more of claims 2, 5, and 8 when it created and implemented virtual events in Epic Games' multiplayer online video game Fortnite: the Travis

Scott and Ariana Grande Events (also known as the "accused events").

Epic Games denies that it has infringed asserted claims 2, 5, and 8 of the '605 Patent.

Epic Games also argues that claims 2, 5, and 8 are invalid. Utherverse Gaming contends that the '605 Patent is not invalid.

Your job is to decide whether any of the asserted claims of the '605 Patent have been infringed and whether any of the asserted claims of the '605 Patent are invalid. If you decide that any asserted claim of the '605 Patent has been infringed and is not invalid, you will then need to decide any money damages to be awarded to Utherverse Gaming to compensate it for the infringement.

Source: N.D. Cal. Model Patent Jury Instruction No. B.1. (modified).

20

18

22

### Final Instruction No. 10 – Overview of Applicable Law

In deciding the issues I just discussed, you will be asked to consider specific legal standards. I will give you an overview of those standards now and will review them in more detail before the case is submitted to you for your verdict.

The first issue you will be asked to decide is whether Epic Games has infringed the asserted claims of the '605 Patent. Infringement is assessed on a claim-by-claim basis. Therefore, there may be infringement as to one claim but not infringement as to another. To prove infringement, Utherverse Gaming must prove by a preponderance of the evidence that, in creating and implementing the accused events Epic Games used a method that practices each step of one or more of the asserted claims of the '605 Patent.

Another issue you will be asked to decide is whether the '605 Patent is invalid. A patent may be invalid for several reasons, including because it claims subject matter that is not new or is obvious.

For a claim to be invalid because it is not new, Epic Games must show, by clear and convincing evidence, that all of the limitations of a claim are present in a single previous device or method, or sufficiently described in a single previous printed publication or patent. We call these "prior art." If a claim is not new, it is said to be anticipated.

Another way that a claim may be invalid is that it may have been obvious. Even though every element of a claim is not shown or sufficiently described in a single piece of "prior art," the claim may still be invalid if it would have been obvious to a person of ordinary skill in the field of technology of the patent at the relevant time. You will need to consider a number of questions in deciding whether the invention claimed in the '605 Patent is obvious.

A patent may also be invalid if its description in the specification does not meet certain requirements. The disclosure of a patent must meet the "enablement" requirement. To meet this requirement, the description in the patent has to be sufficiently full and clear to have allowed persons of ordinary skill in the field of technology of the patent to make and use the invention

without undue experimentation, at the time the patent application was originally filed.

You will also be asked to decide whether the limitations of the asserted claims, when taken individually and when taken as an ordered combination, involve only technology which a person of ordinary skill in the art would have considered to be well-understood, routine, and conventional as of August 12, 2014, the effective filing date of the '605 Patent.

If you decide that any claim of the '605 Patent has been infringed and is not invalid, you will then need to decide any money damages to be awarded to Utherverse Gaming to compensate it for the infringement. A damages award should put Utherverse Gaming in approximately the same financial position that it would have been in had the infringement not occurred, but in no event may the damages award be less than what Utherverse Gaming would have received had it been paid a reasonable royalty. The damages you award are meant to compensate Utherverse Gaming and not to punish Epic Games. You may not include in your award any additional amount as a fine or penalty, above what is necessary to compensate Utherverse Gaming for infringement, in order to punish Epic Games.

Source: FCBA Model Patent Jury Instruction No. A.4 (modified); Final Jury Instructions at 22-23, *Innovation Sciences, LLC v. Amazon.com, Inc*, No. 4:18-cv-474 (E.D. Tex. Sept. 2, 2020) (Dkt. 845).

Page 52 of 79

#### **DISPUTED Final Instruction No. 11 – Interpretation of Claims**

See Joint Statement of Disputed Instructions, filed at ECF No. XXX

1000 SECOND AVENUE, SUITE 3500 SEATTLE, WA 98104 • Tel: 206-393-5400

#### <u>Final Instruction No. 12 – Infringement – Burden of Proof</u>

I will now instruct you on the rules you must follow in deciding whether Utherverse has proven that Epic Games has infringed one or more of the asserted claims of the '605 patent. To prove infringement of any claim, Utherverse must persuade you that it is more likely than not that Epic Games has infringed that claim.

Source: N.D. Cal. Model Patent Jury Instruction No. B.3.1.

1,

POLSINELLI 1000 SECOND AVENUE, SUITE 3500 SEATTLE, WA 98104 • Tel: 206-393-5400 **DISPUTED Final Instruction No. 13 - Infringement** 

See Joint Statement of Disputed Instructions, filed at ECF No. XXX



1	<u>DISPUTED Final Instruction No. 13A – Order of Steps in a Method Claim (PROPOSED</u>
2	BY UTHERVERSE)
3	
4	<b>DISPUTED Final Instruction No. 13A – Antecedent Basis (PROPOSED BY EPIC)</b>
5	
6	DISPUTED Final Instruction No. 13B – Interpretation of Claims, Cont. of Instruction No.
7	11 (PROPOSED BY UTHERVERSE)
8	
9	See Joint Statement of Disputed Instructions, filed at ECF No. XXX
10	
11	
12	
13	
14	
15	
16	
17	
18	
19	
20	
21	
22	
23	
24	
25	
26	

#### Final Instruction No. 14 – Invalidity – Burden of Proof

I will now instruct you on the rules you must follow in deciding whether Epic Games has proven that claims 2, 5, and 8 of the '605 patent are invalid. Before discussing the specific rules, I want to remind you about the standard of proof that applies to this defense. To prove invalidity of any patent claim, Epic Games must persuade you that it is highly probable that the claim is invalid.

Source: N.D. Cal. Model Patent Jury Instruction No. B.4.1a.

\_\_\_



Final Instruction No. 15 - Perspective of One of Ordinary Skill in the Art

INTENTIONALLY OMITTED by agreement of the Parties



13

15

#### Final Instruction No. 17 – Anticipation 35 U.S.C. § 102

A patent claim is invalid if the claimed invention is not new. For the claim to be invalid because it is not new, all of its requirements must have existed in a single product or method that predates the claimed invention or must have been described in a single previous publication or patent that predates the claimed invention. In patent law, these previous products, methods, publications or patents are called "prior art references." If a patent claim is not new we say it is "anticipated" by a prior art reference.

The description in the written reference does not have to be in the same words as the claim, but all of the requirements of the claim must be there, either stated or necessarily implied, so that someone of ordinary skill in the field of recording and playing back an experience in a virtual worlds system looking at that one reference would be able to make and use the claimed invention.

In determining whether a single item of prior art anticipates a patent claim, you may consider not only what is expressly disclosed in that item of prior art but also what is inherently present or disclosed in it or inherently results from its use. Prior art inherently anticipates a patent claim if the missing requirement or feature would necessarily be present in the prior art.

Here is a list of the ways that Epic Games can show that a patent claim was not new:

- if the claimed invention was already publicly known or publicly used by others in the United States before August 12, 2014;
- if the claimed invention was already patented or described in a printed publication anywhere in the world before August 12, 2014. A reference is a "printed publication" if it is accessible to those interested in the field, even if it is difficult to find.
- if the claimed invention was already made by someone else in the United States before August 12, 2014, if that person had not abandoned the invention or kept it secret;
- if the claimed invention was already described in another issued U.S. patent or published U.S. patent application that was based on a patent application filed before

August 12, 2014;

Source: N.D. Cal. Model Patent Jury Instructions, Instruction 4.3a1 (modified)



4

5

7

89

10

11

12

13 14

15

16

17

18 19

20

21

22

23

24

2526

#### Final Instruction No. 18 – Obviousness 35 U.S.C. § 103

Not all innovations are patentable. A patent claim of the '605 patent is invalid if the claimed invention would have been obvious to a person of ordinary skill in the field as of August 12, 2014. This means that even if all of the requirements of the claim cannot be found in a single prior art reference that would anticipate the claim or constitute a bar to that claim, a person of ordinary skill in the field of virtual computer-generated environments who knew about all this prior art would have come up with the claimed invention.

The ultimate conclusion of whether a claim is obvious should be based upon your determination of several factual decisions.

First, you must decide the level of ordinary skill in the field that someone would have had as of August 12, 2014. In deciding the level of ordinary skill, you should consider all the evidence introduced at trial, including:

- 1. the levels of education and experience of persons working in the field;
- 2. the types of problems encountered in the field; and
- 3. the sophistication of the technology.

Second, you must decide what difference, if any, existed between the claimed invention and the prior art.

Finally, you may consider any of the following factors that you find have been shown by the evidence:

- (1) commercial success of a product due to the merits of the claimed invention;
- (2) a long-felt need for the solution provided by the claimed invention;
- (3) unsuccessful attempts by others to find the solution provided by the claimed invention;
- (5) unexpected and superior results from the claimed invention;
- (6) acceptance by others of the claimed invention as shown by praise from others in the field of the claimed invention;

4 5

> 6 7

8

9

10

12 13

11

14 15

16 17

18

19 20

21

22

23 24

25

26

- (7) other evidence tending to show nonobviousness;
- (8) independent invention of the claimed invention by others before or at about the same time as the named inventor thought of it; and;
- (9) other evidence tending to show obviousness.

The presence of any of factors 1-7 may be considered by you as an indication that the claimed invention would not have been obvious as of August 12, 2014, and the presence of factors 8 and 9 may be considered by you as an indication that the claimed invention would have been obvious at such time. Although you should consider any evidence of these factors, the relevance and importance of any of them to your decision on whether the claimed invention would have been obvious is up to you.

A patent claim composed of several elements is not proved obvious merely by demonstrating that each of its elements was independently known in the prior art. In evaluating whether such a claim would have been obvious, you may consider whether Epic Games has identified a reason that would have prompted a person of ordinary skill in the field to combine the elements or concepts from the prior art in the same way as in the claimed invention. There is no single way to define the line between true inventiveness on the one hand (which is patentable) and the application of common sense and ordinary skill to solve a problem on the other hand (which is not patentable). For example, market forces or other design incentives may be what produced a change, rather than true inventiveness. You may consider whether an inventor would look to the prior art to help solve the particular problem at hand. You may consider whether the change was merely the predictable result of using prior art elements according to their known functions, or whether it was the result of true inventiveness. You may also consider whether there is some teaching or suggestion in the prior art to make the modification or combination of elements claimed in the patent. Also, you may consider whether the innovation applies a known technique that had been used to improve a similar device or method in a similar way. You may also consider whether the claimed invention would have been obvious to try, meaning that the

claimed innovation was one of a relatively small number of possible approaches to the problem with a reasonable expectation of success by those skilled in the art. However, you must be careful not to determine obviousness using the benefit of hindsight; many true inventions might seem obvious after the fact. You should put yourself in the position of a person of ordinary skill in the field as of August 12, 2014, and you should not consider what is known today or what is learned from the teaching of the patent. Source: N.D. Cal. Model Patent Jury Instructions, Instruction No. B.4.3b (Alternative 2) (modified).

#### Final Instruction No. 19 – Enablement

A patent claim is invalid if the patent at the time it was originally filed did not contain a description of the claimed invention that is sufficiently full and clear to enable a person of ordinary skill in the field at the time to make and use the full scope of the invention. This is known as the "enablement" requirement.

The patent may be enabling even though it does not expressly state some information if a person of ordinary skill in the field could make and use the invention without having to do excessive experimentation. In determining whether excessive experimentation is required, you may consider the following factors:

- the scope of the claimed invention;
- the amount of guidance presented in the patent;
- the amount of experimentation necessary;
- the time and cost of any necessary experimentation;
- how routine any necessary experimentation is in the field of virtual computergenerated environments;
- whether the patent discloses specific working examples of the claimed invention;
- the nature and predictability of the field; and
- the level of ordinary skill in the field of virtual computer-generated environments.

The question of whether a patent is enabling is judged as of the date the original application for the patent was first filed, which in this case is August 12, 2014.

Source: N.D. Cal. Model Patent Jury Instruction No. B.4.2b (modified).

23

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

24

25

#### Final Instruction No. 20 – Patent Eligibility

Epic Games contends that the '605 Patent is invalid for failure to claim patent-eligible subject matter. To succeed on its claims for invalidity for failure to claim patent-eligible subject matter, Epic Games must show by clear and convincing evidence that the limitations of the asserted claim(s), when taken individually and when taken as an ordered combination, involve only technology which a person of ordinary skill in the art would have considered to be wellunderstood, routine, and conventional as of August 12, 2014. In determining whether a patent claim involves well-understood, routine, and conventional technology to a person of ordinary skill in the art, you may consider statements made in the patent's specification, as well as evidence of the prior art.

Whether a particular technology was well-understood, routine, and conventional goes beyond what was known in the prior art. The mere fact that something is disclosed in a piece of prior art does not mean that it was well-understood, routine, and conventional.

At the same time, the specification of the asserted patent may be such evidence, if you find that the specification shows that the elements of the asserted claims involve only technology which a person of ordinary skill in the art would have considered well-understood, routine, and conventional.

Source: Final Jury Instructions at 22-23, Innovation Sciences, LLC v. Amazon.com, Inc, No. 4:18-cv-474 (E.D. Tex. Sept. 2, 2020) (Dkt. 845) (modified).

21

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

22

23

24

25

#### Final Instruction No. 21 – Damages – Burden of Proof

I will instruct you about the measure of damages. By instructing you on damages, I am not suggesting which party should win on any issue. If you find that Epic Games infringed any valid claim of the '605 Patent, you must then determine the amount of money damages to be awarded to Utherverse Gaming to compensate it for the infringement.

The amount of those damages must be adequate to compensate Utherverse Gaming for the infringement. A damages award should put the patent holder in approximately the financial position it would have been in had the infringement not occurred, but in no event may the damages award be less than a reasonable royalty. You should keep in mind that the damages you award are meant to compensate the patent holder and not to punish an infringer.

Utherverse Gaming has the burden to persuade you of the amount of its damages. You should award only those damages that Utherverse Gaming more likely than not suffered. While Utherverse Gaming is not required to prove its damages with mathematical precision, it must prove them with reasonable certainty. Utherverse Gaming is not entitled to damages that are remote or speculative.

Source: N.D. Cal. Model Patent Jury Instruction No. B.5.1.

19

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

20 21

22

23

24

25

#### Final Instruction No. 22 – Reasonable Royalty – Entitlement

If you find that any of the asserted claims has proven to be both infringed and not invalid, Utherverse should be awarded a reasonable royalty for Epic Games' infringement.

Source: N.D. Cal. Model Patent Jury Instruction No. B.5.6 (modified); 35 U.S.C. § 284; Jury Instructions at 34, *NXP USA*, *Inc. v. Impinj, Inc.*, No. 2:20-cv-01503-JHC (W.D. Wash. June 21, 2023).

1000 SECOND AVENUE, SUITE 3500 SEATTLE, WA 98104 • Tel: 206-393-5400 <u>DISPUTED Final Instruction No. 23 – Reasonable Royalty - Definition</u>

See Joint Statement of Disputed Instructions, filed at ECF No. XXX



#### Final Instruction No. 24 – Damages – Apportionment

Any amount you find as damages must be based on the value attributable to the patented invention, as distinct from unpatented steps included in the accused process or other factors such as marketing or advertising, or Epic Games' size or market position. A royalty compensating Utherverse for damages must reflect the value attributable to the infringing steps of the infringing process, and no more. The process of separating the value of the allegedly infringing steps from the value of all other steps and aspects of the product is called apportionment.

Source: 2014 AIPLA Model Patent Jury Instructions 10.2.5.4

<u>DISPUTED Final Instruction No. 24A – Damages – Comparable Agreements</u>

See Joint Statement of Disputed Instructions, filed at ECF No. XXX



**DISPUTED Final Instruction No. 25 – Glossary of Patent Terms** 

See Joint Statement of Disputed Instructions, filed at ECF No. XXX



#### Final Instruction No. 26 – Duty to Deliberate

Before you begin your deliberations, elect one member of the jury as your presiding juror. The presiding juror will preside over the deliberations and serve as the spokesperson for the jury in court.

You shall diligently strive to reach agreement with all of the other jurors if you can do so. Your verdict must be unanimous.

Each of you must decide the case for yourself, but you should do so only after you have considered all of the evidence, discussed it fully with the other jurors, and listened to their views.

It is important that you attempt to reach a unanimous verdict but, of course, only if each of you can do so after having made your own conscientious decision. Do not be unwilling to change your opinion if the discussion persuades you that you should. But do not come to a decision simply because other jurors think it is right or change an honest belief about the weight and effect of the evidence simply to reach a verdict.

Source: Ninth Circuit Model Civil Jury Instruction No. 3.1.

#### <u>Final Instruction No. 27 – Consideration of Evidence – Conduct of the Jury</u>

Because you must base your verdict only on the evidence received in the case and on these instructions, I remind you that you must not be exposed to any other information about the case or to the issues it involves. Except for discussing the case with your fellow jurors during your deliberations:

Do not communicate with anyone in any way and do not let anyone else communicate with you in any way about the merits of the case or anything to do with it. This includes discussing the case in person, in writing, by phone, tablet, computer, or any other means, via email, via text messaging, or any internet chat room, blog, website or application, including but not limited to Facebook, YouTube, Twitter, Instagram, LinkedIn, Snapchat, TikTok, or any other forms of social media. This applies to communicating with your family members, your employer, the media or press, and the people involved in the trial. If you are asked or approached in any way about your jury service or anything about this case, you must respond that you have been ordered not to discuss the matter and to report the contact to the court.

Do not read, watch, or listen to any news or media accounts or commentary about the case or anything to do with it; do not do any research, such as consulting dictionaries, searching the Internet, or using other reference materials; and do not make any investigation or in any other way try to learn about the case on your own. Do not visit or view any place discussed in this case, and do not use Internet programs or other devices to search for or view any place discussed during the trial. Also, do not do any research about this case, the law, or the people involved—including the parties, the witnesses, or the lawyers—until you have been excused as jurors. If you happen to read or hear anything touching on this case in the media, turn away and report it to me as soon as possible.

These rules protect each party's right to have this case decided only on evidence that has been presented here in court. Witnesses here in court take an oath to tell the truth, and the accuracy of their testimony is tested through the trial process. If you do any research or

investigation outside the courtroom, or gain any information through improper communications, then your verdict may be influenced by inaccurate, incomplete, or misleading information that has not been tested by the trial process. Each of the parties is entitled to a fair trial by an impartial jury, and if you decide the case based on information not presented in court, you will have denied the parties a fair trial. Remember, you have taken an oath to follow the rules, and it is very important that you follow these rules. A juror who violates these restrictions jeopardizes the fairness of these proceedings. If

any juror is exposed to any outside information, please notify the court immediately.

Source: Ninth Circuit Model Civil Jury Instruction No. 3.2.

#### Final Instruction No. 28 – Communication with Court

If it becomes necessary during your deliberations to communicate with me, you may send a note through the clerk, signed by any one or more of you. No member of the jury should ever attempt to communicate with me except by a signed writing. I will not communicate with any member of the jury on anything concerning the case except in writing or here in open court. If you send out a question, I will consult with the lawyers before answering it, which may take some time. You may continue your deliberations while waiting for the answer to any question. Remember that you are not to tell anyone—including the court—how the jury stands, whether in terms of vote count or otherwise, until after you have reached a unanimous verdict or have been discharged.

Source: Ninth Circuit Model Civil Jury Instruction No. 3.3.

#### <u>Final Instruction No. 29 – Return of Verdict</u>

A verdict form has been prepared for you. After you have reached unanimous agreement on a verdict, your presiding juror should complete the verdict form according to your deliberations, sign and date it, and advise the clerk that you are ready to return to the courtroom.

Source: Ninth Circuit Model Civil Jury Instruction No. 3.5.

#### Final Instruction No. 30 – Post Discharge Instruction

Now that the case has been concluded, some of you may have questions about the confidentiality of the proceedings. Now that the case is over, you are free to discuss it with any person you choose. By the same token, however, I would advise you that you are under no obligation whatsoever to discuss this case with any person.

If you do decide to discuss the case with anyone, I would suggest you treat it with a degree of solemnity in that whatever you do decide to say, you would be willing to say in the presence of the other jurors or under oath here in open court in the presence of all the parties.

Always bear in mind that if you do decide to discuss this case, the other jurors fully and freely stated their opinions with the understanding they were being expressed in confidence.

Please respect the privacy of the views of the other jurors.

Finally, if you would prefer not to discuss the case with anyone, but are feeling undue pressure to do so, please feel free to contact the courtroom deputy, who will notify me and I will assist.

Source: Ninth Circuit Model Civil Jury Instruction No. 3.9.

1	DATED this 10th day of May, 2025	
2		Respectfully submitted,
3		
4		/s/ Emily C. McNally
5	Colby B. Springer (admitted <i>pro hac vice</i> ) Miya Yusa (admitted <i>pro hac vice</i> )	By: Emily McNally (WSBA No. 60710) POLSINELLI PC
6	Iqra Iqbal (admitted <i>pro hac vice</i> ) <b>POLSINELLI LLP</b>	1000 Second Avenue, Suite 3500 Seattle, WA 98104
7	Three Embarcadero Center, Suite 2400 San Francisco, CA 94111	Tel: 206-393-5400 Fax: 206-393-5401
8	Tel: 415-248-2100 Fax: 415-248-2101	Email: emcnally@polsinelli.com
	Email: cspringer@polsinelli.com	Melenie Van (admitted pro hac vice)
9	Email: myusa@polsineilli.com Email: iiqbal@polsinelli.com	POLSINELLI LLP 2049 Century Park East, Suite 2900
10	Mark T. Deming (admitted pro hac vice)	Los Angeles, CA 90067 Tel: (310) 229-1355
11	POLSINELLI PC 150 N. Riverside Place, Suite 3000	Fax: (415) 276-8959 Email: mvan@polsinelli.com
12	Chicago, IL 60606 Tel: 312-819-1900	Jonathan Spivey (admitted <i>pro hac vice</i> )
13	Fax: 312-819-1901 Email: mdeming@polsinelli.com	POLSINELLI PC 1000 Louisiana Street, Suite 6400
14	Kathryn Gusmer Cole (admitted <i>pro hac</i>	Houston, TX 77002 Tel.: (713) 374-1600
15	vice)	Fax: (713) 374-1601
16	J. Mark Wilson (admitted pro hac vice) MOORE & VAN ALLEN LAW	Email: jspivey@polsinelli.com
17	100 North Tryon Street, Ste. 4700 Charlotte, NC 28202	
18	Tel: (704) 331-1045 Fax: (704) 409-5659	Attorneys for Plaintiff UTHERVERSE GAMING LLC
19	Email: katecole@mvalaw.com Email: markwilson@mvalaw.com	
20		
21		
22		
23		
24		
25		
26		

1 2 3 4 Antoine M. McNamara (WSBA No. 41701) 5 AMcNamara@perkinscoie.com Christina J. McCullough 6 (WSBA No. 47147) CMcCullough@perkinscoie.com 7 PERKINS COIE LLP 1201 Third Avenue, Suite 4900 8 Seattle, WA 98101-3099 Telephone: 9 Facsimile: 10 Bethany D. Bengfort bengfort@turnerboyd.com 11 Turner Boyd Seraphine LLP 155 Bovet Road, Suite 600 12 San Mateo, CA 94402 Telephone: 13 Mark A. Lemley (pro hac vice) 14 mlemley@lex-lumina.com LEX LUMINA PLLC 15 745 Fifth Avenue, Suite 500 New York, NY 10151 16 Telephone: (646) 898-2055 17 18 19 20 21 22 23 24 25

26

206-359-8000

206-359-9000

650-529-4752

Respectfully submitted,

<u>/s/ Eric C. Weiner</u> Daralyn J. Durie (pro hac vice) DDurie@mofo.com Timothy C. Saulsbury (pro hac vice) TSaulsbury@mofo.com Eric C. Wiener (pro have vice) EWiener@mofo.com Ramsey W. Fisher (pro hac vice) ramseyfisher@mofo.com Sara Doudar (pro hac vice) SDoudar@mofo.com MORRISON & FOERSTER LLP 425 Market Street San Francisco, CA 94105

Telephone: 415-268-7000 Facsimile: 415-268-7522

Katherine E. McNutt (pro hac vice) KMcNutt@mofo.com MORRISON & FOERSTER LLP 707 Wilshire Boulevard, Suite 6000 Los Angeles, CA 90017-3543 Telephone: 213-892-5200 Facsimile: 213-892-5454

**Attorneys for Defendant** EPIC GAMES, INC.